

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA**

STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTOMOBILE
INSURANCE COMPANY, and STATE FARM
FIRE AND CASUALTY COMPANY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HEALTH AND WELLNESS SERVICES, INC.,
BEATRIZ MUSE, LAZARO MUSE, HUGO
GOLDSTRAJ, MANUEL FRANCO, MEDICAL
WELLNESS SERVICES, INC., NOEL SANTOS,
ANGEL CARRASCO, JORGE RAFAEL COLL,
PAIN RELIEF CLINIC OF HOMESTEAD, CORP.,
JESUS LORITES, AND JOSE GOMEZ-CORTES,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs, State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company (“State Farm Mutual”) and State Farm Fire and Casualty Company (“State Farm Fire”), sue Health & Wellness Services, Inc. (“Health & Wellness”), Beatriz Muse (“Ms. Muse”), Lazaro Muse (“Mr. Muse”), Hugo Goldstraj, M.D. (“Dr. Goldstraj”), Manuel Franco, M.D. (“Dr. Franco”), Medical Wellness Services, Inc. (“Medical Wellness”), Noel Santos (“Mr. Santos”), Angel Carrasco, M.D. (“Dr. Carrasco”), Jorge Coll, M.D. (“Dr. Coll”), Pain Relief Clinic of Homestead, Corp. (“Pain Relief”), Jesus Lorites, M.D. (“Dr. Lorites”), and Jose Gomez-Cortes, M.D. (“Dr. Gomez-Cortes”), hereinafter referred to as Defendants and allege:

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Ms. Muse, Mr. Muse, and Mr. Santos (collectively “the Muse Family”) orchestrated a scheme to defraud State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire through the unlawful operation of

three health care clinics: Health & Wellness, Medical Wellness, and Pain Relief (collectively “the Muse Clinics”).

2. Defendants used individuals who were allegedly involved in automobile accidents and purportedly eligible for Florida No-Fault and related Medical Payments Coverage Insurance benefits from State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual (the “Insureds”) to unlawfully obtain insurance payments from State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire, which total in excess of \$4.7 million dollars.

3. The Muse Clinics submitted false, materially misleading, and/or fraudulent bills and supporting records to Plaintiffs for services which were not medically necessary, and in some instances were never actually rendered. Most of the time, when treatment was rendered at the Muse Clinics, it was provided pursuant to a pre-determined treatment plan (“Predetermined Treatment Plan”). The Predetermined Treatment Plan at each of the Muse Clinics involved very similar components: (a) failing to adequately examine Insureds to determine the true nature and extent of their injuries; (b) diagnosing nearly every Insured with non-specific pain/sprain/strains of the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions of the spine regardless of their true condition; (c) treating nearly all Insureds with excessive therapy modalities regardless of the unique circumstances and needs of each patient; (d) subjecting nearly every Insured to an x-ray without incorporating the results of the x-ray into the treatment plan; (e) conducting re-evaluations of Insureds to further the Predetermined Treatment Plan rather than as part of individualized care; and (f) submitting documents to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire falsely representing that the examinations, diagnoses, and treatments purportedly rendered to the Insureds were medically necessary and compensable when, in fact, the examinations and treatments were either not rendered, were not medically necessary, were unlawfully rendered under Florida’s No-Fault

Statute, Section 627.736, Florida Statutes and were otherwise noncompensable under Section 400.9905 of the Health Care Clinic Act (“HCCA”) because the medical directors failed to perform their statutory duties.

4. As a result of the Predetermined Treatment Plan at the Muse Clinics: (a) Insureds were not properly examined, diagnosed, or treated for conditions which they may have had; (b) Insureds were subjected to medically unnecessary and sometimes excessive medical treatments; and (c) Insureds’ limited No-Fault Benefits were substantially depleted or exhausted and therefore not available for appropriate treatment that the Insureds may have needed.

5. The unlawful treatment provided at the Muse Clinics was made possible by Drs. Goldstraj, Franco, Carrasco, Coll, Lorites and Gomez-Cortes (the “Muse Clinic Medical Directors”), who appeared on paper as Muse Clinic medical directors, but who knowingly did not perform their statutory duties of oversight.

6. The “on paper” medical directors at the Muse Clinics failed to properly oversee the medical treatment and records of the clinic as required by Florida law, which includes, but is not limited to, conducting systematic reviews of Clinics’ billings. Such properly performed systematic reviews would have revealed the Predetermined Treatment Plan and the other unlawful conduct set forth below, in which the Muse Clinics engaged.

7. Similarly, the medical directors failed to verify that the licensed health care professionals who performed therapies received by Muse Clinic patients maintained the proper licensure for the treatment they rendered. As a result, the health care professionals performed therapy modalities which were outside the scope of their license for nearly every Muse Clinic patient.

8. Nevertheless, the Muse Clinic Medical Directors signed the vast majority of bills that the Muse Clinics submitted to Plaintiffs thereby creating the appearance that they either supervised or performed the treatment rendered and falsely certified that the treatment performed was medically indicated and necessary for the health of the patient.

9. Accordingly, the services allegedly provided to the Insureds at each of the three Muse Clinics are noncompensable because they were the product of an unlawful Predetermined Treatment Plan and/or were performed at a clinic operating in violation of the HCCA whose medical directors ignored their statutory duties. Defendants' unlawful conduct set forth in this Complaint occurred as early as 2007 and has continued to the present. Based on Defendants' material misrepresentations and other affirmative acts to conceal their fraud and unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs did not discover and could not have reasonably discovered that their damages were attributable to Defendants' fraud and unlawful conduct until shortly before Plaintiffs filed this Complaint.

II. PARTIES

A. Plaintiffs

10. Plaintiff State Farm Mutual is an Illinois domestic property and casualty insurer incorporated under the laws of Illinois, with its principal place of business in Bloomington, Illinois. State Farm Mutual is licensed to engage in business in the State of Florida as a foreign corporation and is doing business in Miami-Dade County, Florida. State Farm Mutual issues automobile insurance policies in Florida and made substantial insurance payments to, or for the benefit of, the Muse Clinics.

11. Plaintiff State Farm Fire is an Illinois corporation with its principal place of business in Bloomington, Illinois. State Farm Fire is licensed to engage in business in the State of Florida as a foreign corporation and is doing business in Miami-Dade County, Florida. State Farm

Fire issues automobile insurance policies in Florida and made substantial insurance payments to, or for the benefit of, the Muse Clinics.

B. Defendants

12. Defendant Health & Wellness is an active Florida corporation currently located at 2140 W. Flagler Street, Suite 202-203, Miami Florida 33135.¹ According to the Florida Department of State, Division of Corporations, Health & Wellness was incorporated on June 26, 2007 by Beatriz Muse. Through a series of purported stock transfers, ownership purportedly passed from Beatriz Muse to Marcelo Velazquez (a phlebotomist at Health & Wellness), then to Sandor Abraham Fuentes (a patient transport driver), and finally to the purported current owner Andrelvis Perez (the “maintenance man” of Health & Wellness). None of those stock transfers appear legitimate. Instead, Ms. Muse and Mr. Muse are the actual owners of Health & Wellness and have been from its inception to the present.

13. Defendant Beatriz Muse is a citizen of Florida and is believed to reside in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Ms. Muse still performs the billing at Health & Wellness through her billing company, Confidence Billing & Collection Services, Inc. (“Confidence Billing”). Ms. Muse is a LMT; she received her training at Professional Hands Institute, a massage therapy school partially owned by Noel Ruiz, the lead defendant in *State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v. First Care Solutions, Inc.*, against whom State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire obtained a final judgment for over \$640,000.00 on their claims arising from an insurance fraud scheme. *See State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. First Care Solution, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 15-cv-21215, ECF No. 187 (S.D. Fla. February 14, 2017). Ms. Muse is also the director of a massage therapy school, Healing

¹ Initially, when Health & Wellness was formed it was located at 2128 W. Flagler Street, Suite 205, Miami Florida 33135, in a building owned in part by Lazaro Muse.

Hands Institute (“Healing Hands”). Healing Hands purportedly trains students in topics such as massage therapy and phlebotomy and places them at health care clinics, including the Muse Clinics.

14. Defendant Lazaro Muse is a citizen of Florida and is believed to reside in Miami-Dade County, Florida. He is a lay person and holds no medical license. Mr. Muse is Beatriz Muse’s brother and is believed to be one of the true owners of Health & Wellness, Medical Wellness, and Pain Relief. Mr. Muse formerly co-owned, with Noel Ruiz, the building that housed both First Care Solution, Inc. and Health & Wellness, located at 2128 W. Flagler Street in Miami, Florida.

15. Defendant Dr. Hugo Goldstraj, M.D. (“Dr. Goldstraj”) is a citizen of Florida and is believed to reside in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Dr. Goldstraj was a licensed physician who claimed to be the medical director at Health & Wellness from on or about July 3, 2007 to June 19, 2013. Dr. Goldstraj was arrested in 2013 for insurance fraud associated with his role as a medical director at another clinic in Miami-Dade; Dr. Goldstraj pled guilty to insurance fraud in May 19, 2014. The Department of Health revoked his license to practice medicine on April 21, 2015.

16. Defendant Dr. Manuel Franco, M.D. is a citizen of Florida and is believed to reside in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Dr. Franco is a licensed physician who claims to be the medical director at Health & Wellness from June 19, 2013 to the present.

17. Defendant Medical Wellness Services, Inc. is an active Florida corporation located at 3850 SW 87th Ave, Suite 207, Miami, Florida 33165.² According to the Florida Department of

² Medical Wellness, its owner Noel Santos, its medical director Jorge Coll, and several other employees were sued for fraud by Government Employees Insurance Company (“GEICO”) arising out of the alleged medical director’s failure to perform his statutory duties. *See GEICO v. Medical Wellness Serv. Inc., et al.*, Case No.: 17-cv-21360, ECF No. 1 (S.D. Fla. April 11, 2017). That litigation ended in settlement.

State, Division of Corporation, Medical Wellness was incorporated on June 22, 2009 by Noel Santos. Pursuant to Department of Corporation records, Mr. Santos is the President and Registered Agent of Medical Wellness. Beatriz Muse handles the billing for Medical Wellness through her billing company, Confidence Billing.

18. Defendant Noel Santos is a citizen of Florida and is believed to reside in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Mr. Santos is married to Ms. Muse and claims to be the owner of Medical Wellness. Mr. Santos is also a LMT. He received his training at Professional Hands Institute, a massage therapy school owned by Noel Ruiz, a business partner of Lazaro Muse. Professional Hands Institute has trained various other therapists that work at the Muse Clinics.

19. Defendant Angel Carrasco, M.D. is a citizen of Florida and is believed to reside in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Dr. Carrasco is a licensed physician who claimed to be the medical director at Medical Wellness from August 14, 2009 to September 2, 2013.

20. Defendant Jorge Rafael Coll, M.D. is a citizen of Florida and is believed to reside in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Dr. Coll is a licensed physician who has claimed to be the medical director at Medical Wellness from September 2, 2013 to the present.

21. Defendant Pain Relief Clinic of Homestead Corp. is an active Florida corporation doing business at 26051 South Dixie Highway, Naranja, Florida 33032. Florida Department of Corporation records reflect that Pain Relief was incorporated on May 11, 2010 by Ulises Salgado Acevedo. The initial registered agent for Pain Relief is Better Life Home Health, Inc., a Florida company owned by Lazaro Muse. Jose Artiles purportedly became a part owner of Pain Relief on August 24, 2012, and purported to take over complete ownership on April 1, 2013 when Ulises Salgado Acevedo resigned. On July 8, 2013, Jose Artiles purportedly transferred ownership to Daniel Collazo Lopez, who claims to be the current owner. Despite purported transfers of

ownership, the Muse Family still owns and/or controls the operations of Pain Relief, and Beatriz Muse handles the billing for Pain Relief through her billing company, Confidence Billing.

22. Defendant Jesus Lorites, M.D. is a citizen of Florida and is believed to reside in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Dr. Lorites is a licensed physician who claimed to be the medical director at Pain Relief from June 15, 2010 to April 1, 2013.

23. Defendant Jose Gomez-Cortes, M.D. is a citizen of Florida and is believed to reside in Miami-Dade County, Florida. Dr. Lorites is a licensed physician who claims to be the medical director at Pain Relief from April 1, 2013 to the present.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

24. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, based upon the diverse citizenship of the parties and the amount in controversy, which exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.

25. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because a substantial part of the events giving rise to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire's claims occurred in this judicial district, Defendants reside and/or do business in this judicial district, and the conduct of the Defendants has resulted in actionable conduct in this judicial district.

IV. ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

A. Automobile Insurance in Florida

26. Under Florida law, each automobile owner or leasee is required to maintain, and each insurer is required to issue, a minimum amount of insurance coverage that is payable without regard to who is at fault in causing an accident ("No-Fault Benefits"). *See* Fla. Stat. §§ 627.730 *et seq.* Auto insurers like State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire are required to provide No-Fault Benefits of at least \$2,500.00, and up to \$10,000.00 if the patient is determined to have an

emergency medical condition,³ for losses resulting from injuries arising out of the ownership, maintenance, or use of a motor vehicle.

27. For purposes of No-Fault Benefits, insurers are required to pay 80% of all reasonable expenses for medically necessary medical, surgical, x-ray, dental, and rehabilitative services related to injuries caused by the accident.

28. Pursuant to Section 627.732(2)(a)-(c), Florida Statutes, “medically necessary” refers to “a medical service or supply that a prudent physician would provide for the purposes of preventing, diagnosing, or treating an illness, injury, disease, or symptom in a manner that is: (a) [i]n accordance with generally accepted standards of medical practice; (b) [c]linically appropriate in terms of type, frequency, extent, site, and duration; and (c) [n]ot primarily for the convenience of the patient, the physician, or other health care provider.”

29. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire are required to pay or deny claims for No-Fault Benefits within 30 days, and may be ordered to pay interest and attorney’s fees if they fail to pay the amount determined to be owed within that time period.

30. Neither an insurer nor an insured is required to pay a claim or charge: (a) for services or treatment that were not lawful at the time rendered; or (b) to any person who knowingly submits a false or misleading statement relating to the claim. Fla. Stat. §§ 627.736(5)(b)(1)(b) and (c). Pursuant to Section 627.732(11), Florida Statutes, “lawful or lawfully” means “in substantial compliance with all relevant applicable criminal, civil, and administrative requirements of state and federal law related to the provision of medical services or treatment.”

31. Medical Payments Coverage (“MPC”) is an optional coverage that provides reimbursement benefits in addition to the No Fault Benefits.

³ Effective January 1, 2013, patients must present with an emergency medical condition, appropriately reflected in the medical records, in order to qualify for \$10,000.00 in No-Fault Benefits. Prior to that time, every patient was entitled to up to \$10,000.00 in No-Fault Benefits without an emergency medical condition determination.

32. MPC covers the co-payment required for No-Fault Benefits and also allows an insured to elect to extend medical coverage above the \$2,500.00 - \$10,000.00 mandated by Florida law.

33. Similar to the law governing No-Fault Benefits, pursuant to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire's applicable policies, MPC coverage is only available for treatment which is medically necessary and lawfully rendered.

B. Florida's Health Care Clinic Act ("HCCA")

34. The HCCA requires each clinic location to obtain a license from the Agency for Health Care Administration ("AHCA"). Fla. Stat. § 400.991. In explaining the purpose of the HCCA, the Florida Legislature stated that "the regulation of health care clinics must be strengthened to prevent significant cost and harm to consumers." Fla. Stat. § 400.990(2). Accordingly, the express purpose of the HCCA "is to provide for the licensure, establishment and enforcement of basic standards for health care clinics and to provide administrative oversight by [AHCA]." *Id.*

35. In furtherance of the goal of clinic oversight, the HCCA requires that clinics which are owned by non-licensed (non-medical) individuals designate a "medical director." The Florida Legislature codified Section, 400.9935, Florida Statutes ("Medical Director Statute") which requires a medical director to assume several statutory obligations to ensure the safety of patients. Fla. Stat. § 400.9935.

36. The identity of the medical director must be designated in the verified application for clinic licensure required by AHCA. AHCA relies upon this designation in granting a clinic licensure at the request of a non-licensed (non-medical) clinic owner.

37. Medical directors must agree in writing with the provider to undertake the statutory obligations and legal responsibilities of medical directors identified in the HCCA. Fla. Stat. § 400.9905(1).

38. In furtherance of the goal of patient safety, the medical director must ensure that all health care practitioners at the clinic have active, appropriate certification or licensure for the level of care being provided. Fla. Stat. § 400.9935(1)(d).

39. In order for the medical director to be in compliance with the HCCA, he or she must verify the proper certification of the individuals rendering services under his or her attention.

40. Similarly, a medical director must conduct “systematic reviews” of the clinic’s billing in an effort to ensure they do not contain fraudulent or unlawful charges, and is required to “take immediate corrective action” should unlawful or fraudulent billing be detected. Fla. Stat. § 400.9935(1)(g).

41. Florida law also requires a clinic medical director to serve as records owner and ensure compliance with recordkeeping requirements. This requires that medical records be maintained in a legible manner, and with sufficient detail to demonstrate why the course of treatment was undertaken. Fla. Admin. Code r. 64B8-9.003(2). Additionally, the medical record must contain enough information to: identify the patient; support the diagnosis; and justify the treatment and document the course and results of treatment accurately. Fla. Admin. Code r. 64B8-9.003(3).

42. Further, “[a] licensed health care clinic may not operate or be maintained without the day-to-day supervision of a single medical or clinic director as defined in Section 400.9905(5), F.S. The health care clinic responsibilities under Sections 400.9935(1)(a)-(i), F.S., cannot be met without an active, appointed medical or clinic director.” Fla. Admin. Code r. 59A-33.008(1) (2006) (emphasis added).

43. If a clinic’s medical director fails to satisfy his or her statutory duties, that clinic operates in violation of the HCCA, rendering the clinic improperly licensed. Treatment provided at an improperly licensed clinic is unlawful and therefore, noncompensable. Fla. Stat. § 400.9935(3).

44. As set forth in detail below, the Muse Clinic Medical Directors failed to satisfy these duties.

C. Florida's Insurance Fraud Statute, Fla. Stat. § 817.234

45. Florida's Insurance Fraud Statute broadly prohibits false or fraudulent insurance claims.

46. Specifically, Florida's Insurance Fraud Statute states that a person commits insurance fraud if that person "with the intent to injury, defraud, or deceive any insurer: (1) [p]resents or causes to be presented any written or oral statement as part of, or in support of, a claim for payment or other benefit pursuant to an insurance policy or a health maintenance organization subscriber or provider contract, knowing that such statement contains any false, incomplete, or misleading information concerning any fact or thing material to such claim." *See* Fla. Stat. § 817.234(1)(a)(1).

47. The term "statement" is defined to include, but is not limited to, "any notice, statement, proof of loss, bill of lading, invoice, account, estimate of property damages, bill for services, diagnosis, prescription, hospital or doctor records, x-ray, test result, or other evidence of loss, injury, or expense." Fla. Stat. § 817.234(6).

48. A violation of Florida's Insurance Fraud Statute constitutes a per se violation of the Florida Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Statute, as set forth in more detail below.

D. An Overview of Legitimate Treatment of Patients with Strains and Sprains

49. The Muse Clinics purport to examine, diagnose, and treat Insureds who have been in automobile accidents and complain of neck and/or back pain, among other ailments.

50. For patients who have been in auto accidents and have legitimate complaints of neck and/or back pain, or other ailments, a provider must record a detailed patient history and perform a complete examination to arrive at a legitimate diagnosis.

51. Based upon a legitimate diagnosis, a licensed professional must engage in medical decision-making to design an appropriate plan of care that is tailored to the unique circumstances of the patient. During the course of treatment, the plan of care should be modified based upon the unique circumstances of each patient and their response (or lack thereof) to treatment.

52. Appropriate plans of care for patients with strains and sprains may, at times, involve no treatment at all because many of these kinds of injuries heal without any intervention, or a variety of interventions, including medications to reduce inflammation and relieve pain, passive modalities, and active modalities.

53. In appropriate plans of care, passive modalities should generally be used only to the extent necessary to reduce pain and facilitate active modalities, while active modalities should generally be introduced as soon as practicable to promote the actual healing of strains and sprains.

54. The decision of which, if any, types of treatment are appropriate for each patient, as well as the level, frequency, and duration of the various treatments, should vary depending on the unique circumstances of each patient, including: (a) the patient's age, social, family, and medical history; (b) the patient's physical condition, limitations, and abilities; (c) the location, nature, and severity of the patient's injury and symptoms; and (d) the patient's response to treatment.

55. The plan of care should be periodically reassessed and modified based upon the progress of the patient, or lack thereof. To the extent that diagnostic tests such as x-rays and MRIs are medically necessary and are performed, the plan of care should also integrate their results.

56. The above-described process of examination, diagnosis, and treatment must be appropriately documented for the benefit of: (a) the licensed professionals involved in the patient's care; (b) other licensed professionals who may treat the patient contemporaneously or subsequently; (c) the patient, whose care and condition necessarily depends on the documentation of this information; and (d) payors such as State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire, so that they can pay for reasonable and necessary treatment.

57. As described below, Insureds at the Muse Clinics are not legitimately examined, diagnosed, or treated for their unique conditions and needs. Instead, Insureds are subjected to the Predetermined Treatment Plan at the Muse Clinics, under which they receive virtually the same laundry list of services on nearly every visit, which exploits their No-Fault Benefits, rather than addresses their unique conditions and needs. Furthermore, the pervasive patterns in the

documentation of the examinations, diagnoses, and other services that the Muse Clinics have submitted, or caused to be submitted, to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire demonstrate services that were performed, to the extent they were actually performed at all, pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan, rather than because they were medically necessary to address the unique conditions and needs of each Insured.

V. UNLAWFUL CONDUCT

A. The Framework For The Muse Family Unlawful Scheme

58. In furtherance of their scheme, the Muse Family installed individuals to pose as owners of the Muse Clinics, who purported to manage the day-to-day operations of each clinic, but who, in reality, answered to the actual owners: the Muse Family.

59. Many of the purported “owners” of the Muse Clinics know little to nothing about the businesses they purportedly own.

60. For example, Daniel Collazo Lopez, the purported owner of Pain Relief, who lacked any medical experience before purportedly purchasing the health care clinic, admitted he was not familiar with the equipment used to render therapy at the Clinic, could not identify any of the employees who worked at the clinic in 2013 (a time during which he was the purported owner), and could not describe the services provided by Pain Relief any more specifically than: “the treatment ordered to the patient by the doctor.” *See* Excerpts of D. Collazo Dep. 16:23-17:15, 20:18-21:4, 24:13-25:6, January 13, 2017, attached as **Exhibit 1**.

61. Similarly, Sandor Abrahan Fuentes, a purported prior owner of Health & Wellness, who previously owned a medical transport company and who had no prior medical-related experience, testified that he did not know whether the pricing for treatment at Health & Wellness was consistent across insurers; could not read the documents related to a specific Health & Wellness claim because he does not read English; did not recognize the name of the doctor performing x-ray reads for patients at Health & Wellness; and did not know the details of Health & Wellness’ contract with Atlantic Therapy (the company performing the x-ray reads). *See*

Excerpts of S. Abrahan Dep. 35:22-38:8; 52:3-54:6; 55:1-56:11, April 5, 2016 attached as **Exhibit 2**.

62. The Muse Family also appears to draw from the patient populations at the Muse Clinics to find purported owners and other staff members to participate in the Muse Family's unlawful scheme. For example, Ulises Salgado Acevedo was a patient at Health & Wellness before becoming President of Pain Relief. And Marcelo Velazquez, a purported owner of Health & Wellness for a brief period in 2010, was a patient at Health & Wellness in 2008.

63. At Medical Wellness, Noel Santos claimed to be the owner at all times, but testimony from former employees suggests that Ms. Muse and Mr. Muse were also owners of that Clinic.

64. In addition to the ownership structure, the Muse Family also selected medical directors who often were medical directors at an unusually high number of clinics, and who were complicit in the Muse Family's scheme by consciously ignoring the rigorous supervision requirements imposed on medical directors by Florida law. By selecting the Muse Clinic Medical Directors, the Muse Family endeavored to create the appearance of compliance with Florida's medical director laws when in reality those individuals knowingly failed to discharge their supervisory requirements.

65. Despite creating the appearance of separately operating health care clinics, the Muse Family covertly maintains its control over the three Muse Clinics. The Muse Clinics use Ms. Muse's billing company to perform the billing at each Muse Clinic. This allows the Muse Family to monitor and to control the billings submitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire.

66. The Muse Family also staffs the Muse Clinics with individuals who were trained at either, Healing Hands, Ms. Muse's massage therapy school, or Professional Hands, the massage therapy school owned in part by Noel Ruiz, who is the former business partner of Mr. Muse. At least twelve (12) staff members at the Muse Clinics were trained at either Healing Hands or Professional Hands.

67. Some of these employees are even shared amongst the Muse Clinics. For example, Annalie Campa, LMT, was initially employed at Pain Relief and then left to work at Health & Wellness. Vladimir Ojeda Perez, CRT, also performs services at both Pain Relief and Health & Wellness concurrently. Similarly, Bernardino Enriquez is an x-ray technician working at all three Clinics. And both Dr. Gomez-Cortes and Physician Assistant Leiva treated patients at all three Clinics.

68. Another example of the Muse Family's control over each of the Muse Clinics is demonstrated by the similarities amongst their medical records. The templated medical forms used to document the therapy plans, prescribing the treatment to be performed, and the daily notes, reflecting the treatment purportedly performed at each visit, are in many instances identical.

69. Further, the manner in which these forms and the medical billing records are completed is basically the same across all Muse Clinics.

70. Moreover, some Pain Relief daily notes reflect the signature of Noel Santos, who claims he owns and is only employed at Medical Wellness. Many of the daily therapy notes from Health & Wellness and from Medical Wellness are on the exact same form with the only distinguishing attribute being a different logo at the top.

71. Similarly, numerous Pain Relief CMS 1500 forms bear the Medical Wellness TIN. *See Exhibits 3 and 4.* The same cross-references are also present in the Assignment of Benefits ("AOB") forms, such that an AOB from one Muse Clinic sometimes directs payment to another Muse Clinic. *See Exhibit 5.* An additional example of the Muse Clinic interchanging their forms is shown in Pain Relief's Patient Consent Forms and Consent to Interpreter Forms. *See Exhibit 6.* In some instances, the letter head for those forms bear Pain Relief's name, but the body of the form refers to "Health & Wellness" as the clinic where treatment is provided. *See id.*

B. Details of the Unlawful Scheme

72. The Muse Family uses the foregoing framework to perpetrate essentially and materially the same Predetermined Treatment Plan across all three Muse Clinics.

73. Each Clinic only treats automobile accident patients. Each Clinic employs a treating physician and several massage therapists. The massage therapists purport to perform treatment pursuant to the prescription from the treating physician.

74. Each Clinic also has a designated location where x-rays are performed and uses an on call x-ray technician to perform x-rays.

75. Each Clinic employs a medical director who, under governing Florida law, is, among other things, responsible for conducting systematic billing reviews to ensure billings are not fraudulent or unlawful; ensuring treating practitioners have active licenses and are properly certified for care being provided; reviewing the clinics' referral contracts; serving as the records owner of all medical records for the clinic; and ensuring that the records are legible and support the treatment prescribed.

76. Despite the statutory obligations imposed on the Muse Clinic Medical Directors, each Muse Family Clinic employs the Predetermined Treatment Plan, which maximizes their billings and the PIP benefits they receive.

77. The Predetermined Treatment Plan follows a pattern whereby the overwhelming majority of Insureds are diagnosed with injuries to the entire back – the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions. Almost every Insured is referred for an x-ray that is generally performed on the date of the initial evaluation. None of the treating physicians at the Muse Clinics waits for the results of the x-rays they prescribe before commencing treatment. Instead, on the same date as the initial examination, nearly every Insured is prescribed excessive physical therapy, including extensive active and passive modalities far beyond what is reasonable or medically necessary for most, if not all, Insureds. Moreover, treatment is prescribed for the Insured's entire back, five times per week, for nearly every Insured.

78. The overwhelming majority of Insureds receive treatment in three cycles. The first cycle is generally two weeks, the second cycle is at least three weeks, and the third cycle is generally at least three weeks. The frequency at which the Insured receives treatment in a given week varies depending on what cycle of treatment the Insured is in. In the first cycle, treatment is

rendered five times per week. During the second cycle, treatment is rendered at least three times per week. In the last cycle, the treatment is rendered at least three times per week.

79. The testimony from medical staff across the Muse Clinics confirms the existence of a Predetermined Treatment Plan. Some medical staff have testified to the use of a “guide” when treating patients while others have admitted to a certain “protocol” for treating accident victims, as more fully explained below.

80. The therapy modalities comprising the Predetermined Treatment Plan consist of largely “passive” modalities including, but not limited to, hot/cold packs, massage, and ultrasound. However, the Predetermined Treatment Plan also includes neuromuscular reeducation, therapeutic exercises and/or therapeutic activities, and potentially gait training, which are often classified as more “active” modalities.

81. LMTs largely lack the requisite training to supervise or administer “active” modalities.

82. Nevertheless, Insureds at the Muse Clinics receive, at a minimum, neuromuscular reeducation and gait training from LMTs without the supervision of the treating physician who prescribed the therapy.

83. Further, there are instances at each Muse Clinic where it appears that bills are being submitted for treatment that has not been rendered. Some Insureds have attested to being out of the country or otherwise having not received treatment on at least some of the dates of service for which bills were submitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire for payment.

84. To the extent that treatment is actually rendered, at the completion of the treatment, nearly every Insured has a less than 10% final impairment. *See Exhibit 7.*

85. In total, these similarities across the Muse Clinics reflect a Predetermined Treatment Plan which exploits No-Fault Benefits and disregards treating Insureds based on their actual medical needs, which enriches the Muse Defendants.

86. Had a legitimate medical director been in place and properly performed his or her supervisory obligations to conduct systematic reviews of the bills at the Muse Clinics, he or she would have been able to identify the hallmarks of the Predetermined Treatment Plan.

87. Here, rather than performing their statutory obligations, the Muse Clinic Medical Directors were complicit in the scheme, knowingly failing to discharge their duties. Instead, the Muse Clinic Medical Directors further misled State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire by signing CMS 1500 forms. By doing so the Muse Clinic Medical Directors falsely represented that: the treatment reflected on the respective CMS 1500s was medically indicated and necessary, and was personally furnished by them or incident to their services and under their immediate supervision, and the signing medical director was the one who rendered the treatment. Those CMS 1500 forms, containing those false statements were then transmitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire for payment, further misleading State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual to believe that treatment at the Muse Clinics was medically necessary and lawfully rendered.

88. The Muse Family has employed the above-described unlawful scheme for many years and appears to continue to expand its business model. Specifically, they recently appear to have replicated their scheme at a new health care clinic in Miami-Dade county: Caring with Love Center, Inc. (“Caring with Love”).

89. Records from Caring with Love reflect references to the Muse Clinics. For example, one Assignment of Benefits for a patient who treated at Caring with Love states that payments should be “made payable to and mailed to Medical Wellness Services, Inc.” See **Exhibit 8**.

90. Caring with Love was incorporated on March 16, 2016. Like other Muse Clinics, the connection to the Muse Family is not apparent from the Department of Corporation records.

91. However, upon closer examination, the receptionist, Kayla Malagon identified Defendant Mr. Muse as the one who was performing hiring and Manager Jorge Abreu identified “a husband wife team,” believed to be Ms. Muse and Mr. Santos, as assisting in the operation of the clinic.

92. Certain employees of Caring with Love were trained and placed at the clinic by Healing Hands, the massage therapy school owned by Ms. Muse.

93. Ms. Muse, through her billing company Confidence Billing, performs the billing at Caring with Love.

C. Unlawful Conduct at Health & Wellness

94. Since Health & Wellness' inception, the Muse Family has installed two medical directors over time —Drs. Goldstraj and Franco (“Health & Wellness Medical Directors”).

95. Dr. Goldstraj served as purported medical director from the clinic's inception until June 19, 2013; Dr. Franco supposedly assumed this role on June 19, 2013 and continues to be identified as medical director of Health & Wellness to the present.

96. Drs. Goldstraj and Franco did not comply with mandatory Florida law in providing oversight at the Clinic during each of their tenures. Instead, both Health & Wellness Medical Directors implemented the Predetermined Treatment Plan and failed to provide proper oversight, which further misled State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual.

97. As a result of the foregoing, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire paid over \$2 million to the Clinic.

i. Predetermined Treatment Plan at Health & Wellness

98. Between 2007 and 2016, Health & Wellness treated approximately 298 Insureds covered by insurance policies issued either by State Farm Mutual or State Farm Fire. *See* Health & Wellness Predetermined Treatment Plan Summary Chart, a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit 9**.

99. The Health & Wellness Insureds range in age from 18 years old to 81 years old. These Insureds received treatment to address injuries purportedly sustained from automobile accidents which include rear end accidents, side swipes, cars backing into cars, side impact collisions, and front impact collisions.

100. Insureds at Health & Wellness purportedly received an initial examination on their first visit to the clinic. Treating physicians completed initial evaluation reports (“Health &

Wellness Initial Evaluations”) which purportedly documented the Insureds’ subjective complaints, objective evaluations, diagnoses, and relevant patient history. No medical professional prepared an individually tailored treatment plan for an Insured, to specify treatment, set goals and track progress.

101. Regardless of the age of the Insureds or the type of accident, treating physicians at Health & Wellness identified over 95% of Insureds as reporting subjective pain complaints in all three regions of the spine – the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions – on the Health & Wellness Initial Evaluations.

102. Of those Insureds, roughly 95% also purportedly complained of pain in an extremity.

103. Treating physicians at Health & Wellness also routinely ordered x-rays as part of their pre-determined treatment—with roughly 95% of Insureds receiving x-rays as part of their treatment.⁴ No x-rays results are documented by the treating physician on the day they were taken, which is often the same day treatment begins. Moreover, the results are not fully documented by the treating physician in any subsequent reports. In fact, for roughly 98% of Insureds at Health & Wellness, the medical records failed to reflect any treatment decisions based on the x-ray findings.

104. Following the initial examination at Health & Wellness, treating physicians at the Clinic purportedly complete a prescription for treatment (“Health & Wellness Therapy Order”) which is a combination of passive and active modalities performed by LMTs, who lack the requisite certification to perform at least some of those therapies.

105. Of the Insureds who received Health & Wellness Therapy Orders, roughly 85% received a prescription for modalities to be performed on the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine.

106. Pursuant to the Health & Wellness Therapy Order, Insureds received treatment in three cycles:

⁴ This figure includes four instances where x-rays were ordered but not billed. If those are left out, the percentage increases to 96%.

- a. for over 85% of Insureds the initial treatment frequency prescribed was five times per week for two weeks;
- b. for nearly 85% of Insureds the second cycle resulted in treatment prescribed four times per week for three weeks; and
- c. for over 85% of Insureds the third cycle resulted in treatment prescribed three times per week for at least three weeks.

107. When purported treatment is performed on a subject Insured, it is documented in a daily therapy note (“Health & Wellness Daily Note”). For the first set of treatment records submitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire, which includes up to ten visits to Health & Wellness, the Health & Wellness Daily Notes for nearly 100% of Insureds have a box checked indicating a subjective complaint of “pain and tenderness” in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions of the spine.

108. For nearly 90% of Health & Wellness Insureds, the Health & Wellness Therapy Order indicated that the patient received treatment to the cervical, thoracic and lumbar regions of the spine.

109. Although the Health & Wellness Daily Notes indicate that treatment is supposedly administered pursuant to the Health & Wellness Therapy Order, it is not. When a Health & Wellness Therapy Order exists, in reality, nearly 100% of Insureds receive treatment modalities inconsistent with those prescribed on the Health & Wellness Therapy Order.

110. Here, rather than abide by their statutory obligations, Drs. Goldstraj and Franco were complicit in the implementation of the Predetermined Treatment Plan and knowingly failed to satisfy their statutory obligations. Drs. Goldstraj and Franco further misled State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire by signing CMS 1500 forms that were submitted to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual. By doing so Drs. Goldstraj and Franco represented that: the treatment reflected on the respective CMS 1500s was performed at Health & Wellness, was medically indicated and necessary, and was personally furnished by them or incident to their services and under their immediate supervision, and the signing medical director was the one who rendered the treatment.

Those CMS 1500 forms were then transmitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire for payment, furthering the misconception that treatment at Health & Wellness was medically necessary and lawfully rendered and caused Plaintiffs to make payments for unlawfully rendered treatment.

ii. Use of a Pre-Set “Guide” at Health & Wellness to Document Patient Condition and Progress

111. To further demonstrate the lack of individualized care, a massage therapist at Health & Wellness confirmed he uses a “guide” (“Guide”) of stock phrases that he would copy into Health & Wellness Daily Notes to give the appearance of documenting the Insured’s medical condition and progress.

112. Specifically, Health & Wellness LMT Raydel Ruiz⁵ testified that he, and possibly other therapists, employed by the Clinic used a Guide that was developed from the Internet, which provides sample phrases that he would copy in the box for narrative description on the Health & Wellness Daily Notes. *See* R. Ruiz Dep. at 62:10-69:10, November 21, 2016, attached as **Exhibit 10**.

113. The paragraphs on the Guide are numbered; each numbered paragraph corresponds to the dates of service; and the text in the Guide is written in English. According to LMT Raydel Ruiz, he copies the narrative in the Guide’s numbered paragraphs that corresponds to the patient’s visit number, into the narrative section of the Health & Wellness Daily Note. A copy of the Guide is attached as **Exhibit 11**. For example, if it is a patient’s first date of service, LMT Ruiz would find the number “1” on the Guide and hand write the corresponding phrase, next to that number, into the narrative box on the Health & Wellness Daily Note for the first date of service.

⁵ Notably, LMT Ruiz was trained at Professional Hands Institute, the massage therapy school of which Noel Ruiz was a prior part owner. Noel Ruiz is currently subject to an outstanding judgment in excess of \$640,000.00 held by State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire as a result of his orchestration of a similar insurance fraud scheme aimed at bilking No-Fault benefits at a separate medical clinic in Miami-Dade, Florida.

114. Notably, LMT Raydel Ruiz cannot read English, and as a result, was unable to clearly identify what each phrase on the Guide meant. *See Exhibit 10* at 69:14-72:25.

iii. The Submission of Blank, Signed Forms

115. In addition, there are several examples of blank Health & Wellness forms that have been submitted with bills.

116. For example, Health & Wellness has submitted Health & Wellness Therapy Orders to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual that are blank except for the Insured's name, the date, and a physician signature. *See* Health & Wellness Therapy Orders attached as **Exhibit 12**.

117. These Health & Wellness Therapy Orders do not reflect any indication of what modalities should be performed or to which regions of the body they should be performed, yet the physician signed them. *Id.*

118. Similarly, Health & Wellness has submitted Daily Notes to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual, which are signed by the therapist and initialed by the Insured, but which are also blank with respect to any of the medical observations, progress, therapy provided, or any other substantive indication. *See* Blank Daily Notes for J.E. attached as Composite **Exhibit 13**; *see also* Blank Daily Notes for Y.S. attached as Composite **Exhibit 14**.

119. Specifically, for Insured J.E., the Daily Notes contain no indication as to the subjective condition of the Insured, the objective verification of that condition, or the assessment of the Insured's progress.

120. Nevertheless, the therapy form is signed by a therapist and initialed by the Insured. This would not occur if the daily therapy notes were completed pursuant to a proper standard. A patient should only ever sign after the treatment is completed and as a result the daily therapy note should already be complete when requesting a patient signature.

121. State Farm Fire has also received blank, signed final examination reports. *See* Blank Final Examination for A.P. attached as **Exhibit 15**. Like the Daily Notes, the Final Examinations contain only identifying Insured information and the corresponding Insured's purported signature.

iv. Medical director Dr. Goldstraj Admits to Failing to Properly Perform His Statutory Duties

122. To further demonstrate that the medical directors failed to satisfy their duties, Health & Wellness Medical Director Dr. Goldstraj admitted in deposition that he failed to comply with Florida's medical director requirements while he was medical director at the Clinic stating: "Probably I didn't carry my duties [as medical director] very well." *See* Excerpts of H. Goldstraj Dep. 79:10-81:17, March 9, 2017, attached as **Exhibit 16**. Dr. Goldstraj further testified regarding his role at Health & Wellness:

- a. He reviewed the billing once a month, but he "was not extremely careful with it." *Id.* at 35:20-38:6.
- b. He usually did not compare the therapy notes to the prescription when reviewing the billing nor did he review the billing or patient files related to x-rays. Further, he did not maintain a log book regarding files he reviewed. *Id.* at 38:7-42:15.
- c. His review of the billings was not "systematic" and only took him about five (5) minutes per file. *Id.* at 42:19-43:13.
- d. Dr. Goldstraj was not really familiar with CPT codes and he does not know what a "unit" of therapy is. He does not know when it is proper to bill one unit versus two units. *Id.* at 73:20-75:15.
- e. He does not know CPT codes and did not know that the number of units billed correlated to length of time rather than areas of the body. He doesn't think the billing person knew that either. He stated that one reason why he did not pay much attention to that was because he knew that the insurance company would not pay the entire bill anyway. *Id.* at 82:22-84:25.
- f. When asked if his role was to "rubber stamp to check off that requirement", he stated: "[w]ell, I didn't take extremely seriously all the duties of a medical director, but yes, probably, yes, was just to give the clinic the proper, the proper tool to work legally." *Id.* at 90:6-92:21.
- g. Dr. Goldstraj concluded his testimony by stating: "And I tell you the truth, these clinics, I talk about this, and the other clinics probably are not completely just. And I never believe that they were completely just, but I was looking *at least it was not grossly breaking the law.*" *Id.* at 92:22-93:3 (emphasis added).

123. Notably, Dr. Goldstraj was also arrested on charges of insurance fraud, related to his involvement at another clinic for conduct occurring between January 13, 2012 and March 7,

2012—a time period in which Dr. Goldstraj was also purportedly serving as the medical director for Health & Wellness. The Arrest Affidavit was written by a Department of Insurance Fraud (“DIF”) agent who obtained his information from a “Witness.”⁶ See Arrest Aff. at p. 1, attached as **Exhibit 17**.

124. The Arrest Affidavit alleges, among other things, that Dr. Goldstraj was the medical director and treating physician at the other clinic in late 2011. *Id.* The Affidavit stated that the Witness was involved in a staged auto accident, then presented to that clinic for treatment. *Id.* The Witness signed several blank treatment forms for treatment that she did not receive at the clinic. *Id.*

125. The medical bills submitted for the purported treatment of this Witness included charges related to four examinations by Dr. Goldstraj, which the Witness stated never occurred. *See id.* Dr. Goldstraj signed the forms submitted for billing related to the treatment that was not provided. *Id.* at p. 2.

126. Dr. Goldstraj pled guilty to making false and fraudulent insurance claims in the criminal action filed against him. *See* May 19, 2014 Plea, attached as **Exhibit 18**. As a result, the Department of Health revoked Dr. Goldstraj’s license to practice medicine on April 21, 2015 following an Administrative Complaint filed by the Board of Medicine. *See* April 21, 2015 Order, attached as **Exhibit 19**.

v. The Health & Wellness Medical Directors Purportedly Acted as medical directors for Multiple Clinics

127. Each of the Health & Wellness Medical Directors also separately purportedly served as medical director or provided treatment at many other facilities.

128. Dr. Goldstraj, who was the initial medical director, served from July 3, 2007 through June 19, 2013. During that time, Dr. Goldstraj served as medical director for at least eight additional clinics, pursuant to Agency for Health Care Administration (“AHCA”) records. *See*

⁶ The Witness is unnamed in the Arrest Affidavit and will be referred to as “Witness.”

AHCA List of Supervised Clinics for Hugo Goldstraj attached hereto as **Exhibit 20**. Much like Dr. Goldstraj, Dr. Franco was also employed as medical director at numerous health care clinics.

129. Dr. Franco assumed his role as Health & Wellness' purported medical director on June 19, 2013 and continues in the role through today.

130. During the time period when he was medical director at Health & Wellness, Dr. Franco served as medical director at sixteen other health care clinics intermittently, pursuant to Agency for Health Care Administration ("AHCA") records. *See* AHCA List of Supervised Clinics for Manuel Franco attached hereto as **Exhibit 21**.

131. Dr. Franco is currently serving as medical director at a total of four health care clinics, including Health & Wellness according to records publicly available.

132. In addition to his role as medical director at numerous locations, Department of Health records demonstrate that Dr. Franco also runs his own medical practice.

D. Unlawful Conduct at Medical Wellness

133. Medical Wellness was incorporated in 2009 by Beatriz Muse's husband, Santos.

134. Like Health & Wellness, the Muse Family effectuated its fraud scheme at Medical Wellness through the use of the Predetermined Treatment Plan. To ensure the success of the Predetermined Treatment Plan, the Muse Family installed medical directors to create the appearance of compliance with Florida's HCCA. In reality, the medical directors, Drs. Carrasco and Coll ("Medical Wellness Medical Directors"), failed to discharge their statutory duties and instead were complicit in the unlawful and medically unnecessary treatment provided at Medical Wellness.

135. Drs. Carrasco and Coll's failure to perform their mandatory duties under Florida law and their implementation of an unlawful Predetermined Treatment Plan at Medical Wellness resulted in State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire's payment of over \$1.5 million in unlawful bills.

i. Predetermined Treatment Plan at Medical Wellness

136. Between 2009 and 2016, Medical Wellness treated approximately 228 Insureds covered by insurance policies issued either by State Farm Mutual or State Farm Fire. *See* Medical Wellness Predetermined Treatment Plan Summary Chart, a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit 22**.

137. The Medical Wellness Insureds range in age from 13 years old through 84 years old, with a wide variety of medical histories. These Insureds received treatment to address injuries purportedly sustained from automobile accidents which include rear end accidents, side swipes, cars backing into cars, side impact collision and front impact collision, at varying rates of speed. As a result, the purported accidents caused a variety of damage to the involved cars – anything from a minor dent to substantial damage – and associated injuries of varying degree.

138. Insureds at Medical Wellness purportedly received an initial examination. Treating physicians purportedly completed initial evaluation reports (“Medical Wellness Initial Evaluations”) where treating physicians purport to document the supposed subjective complaints of the Insured, the objective evaluation, the diagnosis, and relevant history. The primary method of documentation is through check boxes.

139. Regardless of Insured age, past medical history, or severity of the subject accident, over 95% of the Insureds treating at Medical Wellness purportedly reported subjective pain complaints in all three regions of the spine, the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions.

140. Of those Insureds, nearly 100% also supposedly reported subjective pain complaints in an extremity.

141. Treating physicians at Medical Wellness also routinely ordered x-rays as part of their pre-determined treatment— with over 95% of Insureds at Medical Wellness receiving x-rays as part of their treatment. No x-ray results are documented by the treating physician on the day they were taken, which is often the same day treatment begins. Moreover, the results are not fully documented by the treating physician in any subsequent reports. In fact, nearly 100% of the Medical Wellness Insureds’ medical records failed to reflect any medical decision-making based

on the x-ray findings. Instead, after weeks of therapy, the medical records from the first follow-up visit contain the first note that x-rays were taken, to the extent any reference is made at all, though completely lacking any substance regarding the x-ray results.

142. Following the initial examination at Medical Wellness (just like at Health & Wellness), treating physicians at the Clinic purportedly complete a prescription for treatment (“Medical Wellness Therapy Order”) which is a combination of passive and active modalities to be performed by LMTs, who lack the requisite certification to perform certain of those therapies.

143. The Medical Wellness Therapy Orders reflect that treating physicians at Medical Wellness prescribed treatment to the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine for over 95 % of Insureds.

144. The Medical Wellness Therapy Orders also show an excessive amount of treatment prescribed to Insureds at Medical Wellness. Pursuant to Medical Wellness’ Predetermined Therapy Plan, Insureds were prescribed treatment in three cycles, as follows:

- a. for roughly 93% of Insureds the initial treatment frequency prescribed was five times per week for two weeks;
- b. for roughly 90% of Insureds the second cycle resulted in treatment prescribed four times per week for at least three weeks; and
- c. for roughly 92% of Insureds the third cycle resulted in treatment prescribed at least three times per week for at least two weeks.

145. The Medical Wellness Therapy Orders for 100% of Insureds fail to specify the amount of time or number of units for each modality in the prescribed treatment.

146. Further, no medical professional prepares an individually tailored treatment plan for an Insured, to specify treatment, set goals and track progress.

147. When purported treatment is performed on a subject Insured, it is thinly documented in a daily therapy note (“Medical Wellness Daily Note”). For the first set of treatment records submitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire, which includes up to ten visits to Medical Wellness, the Medical Wellness Daily Notes for nearly 100% of Insureds indicate complaints of “pain and tenderness” in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions of the spine.

148. Moreover, nearly 100% of Insureds allegedly reported “severe” pain that at a minimum was “frequent” at each visit, as reflected on the Medical Wellness Daily Notes, during the first set of treatment records.

149. While the Medical Wellness Therapy Orders are often confusing or unclear, the Medical Wellness Daily Notes for over 95% of Insureds contain a handwritten statement indicating that treatment was performed pursuant to the Medical Wellness Therapy Order. Contrary to this handwritten language in the Daily Notes, however, nearly 100% of Insureds do not receive the treatment modalities as prescribed in the applicable Therapy Order.

150. Instead, pursuant to Medical Wellness’ Predetermined Treatment Plan, most Insureds at the Clinic receive months of therapy, after which they are generally discharged with a final examination and report. Of the 228 Insureds who began the treatment protocol at Medical Wellness, 181 received a purported final examination performed by a physician where the physicians supposedly document their findings of the Insured’s condition (the “Medical Wellness Final Examination”). Medical Wellness Final Examinations reflect that roughly 97% of Insureds at Medical Wellness who complete the three cycles of treatment receive a final impairment rating of 10% or less.

151. Here, rather than abide by their statutory obligations, Drs. Carrasco and Coll were complicit in the implementation of the Predetermined Treatment Plan and knowingly failed to discharge their statutory obligations. Drs. Carrasco and Coll further misled State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire by signing CMS 1500 forms. By doing so Drs. Carrasco and Coll falsely represented that: the treatment reflected on the respective CMS 1500s was medically indicated and necessary, and was personally furnished by them or incident to their services and under their immediate supervision, and the signing medical director was the one who rendered the treatment. Those CMS 1500 forms were then transmitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire for payment, further misleading State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual to believe that treatment at Medical Wellness was medically necessary and lawfully rendered. In reliance on those

misrepresentations by Medical Wellness, its medical directors and owner, Plaintiffs made payments for unlawfully rendered treatment.

ii. Medical Wellness Bills for Services Not Rendered

152. Medical Wellness has also submitted bills for services when in some instances, the services were not rendered.

153. At least two Insureds provided affidavit testimony regarding Medical Wellness' bills that relate to dates of service when the respective Insureds did not receive treatment at the Clinic.

154. For example, State Farm Mutual insured B.V. was a patient at Medical Wellness in 2015. *See* B. V. Aff., attached as **Exhibit 23**, at ¶ 4. B.V. stated that at her EUO she reviewed the daily therapy notes from her treatment at Medical Wellness. *Id.* at ¶ 6. She went on to state that “[a]lthough some of the therapy notes were signed by me after I received the treatment, some were signed by me when I did not, in fact, receive treatment. Specifically, on several occasions the therapist would provide me the therapy notes for treatment that I did not receive, which I then signed.” *Id.* at 8. B.V. concluded her affidavit by stating that she did not attend every day of therapy as documented by the therapy notes and billed for by Medical Wellness Services.” *Id.* at ¶ 9.

155. Similarly, Medical Wellness patient and State Farm Mutual insured L.O. stated that Medical Wellness billed State Farm Mutual for treatment that was not rendered to him. L.O. testified in his affidavit that he only treated at Medical Wellness briefly after his accident. While treating at Medical Wellness he would sign all the forms as directed without reading them. *See* L. O. EUO, 74:23-81:2, October 30, 2015, attached as **Exhibit 24**. At times he was provided with multiple therapy sheets to sign. *Id.* at 84:18-85:4. Although L.O. did not recall ever receiving electrical stimulation, his billing records from Medical Wellness reflect that he received such therapy at every single visit. *Id.* at 73:2-74:22. And, although he traveled to Cuba for sixteen days shortly after his accident and never returned to treat at Medical Wellness, Medical Wellness

nevertheless continued to bill State Farm Mutual for treatment that was never rendered. *See* L. O. Aff. attached as **Exhibit 25**, at ¶ 6.

156. In addition, State Farm Mutual has received blank, signed Medical Wellness Therapy Order forms. *See* Exemplar Blank Therapy Forms attached as Composite **Exhibit 26**. These forms should reflect the prescription for therapy to be performed on the subject Insured. Instead, they are substantively blank, except for the name of the Insured and the signature of the treating physician. A physician prescribing therapy should only ever sign a therapy order form after the portion prescribing the therapy has been completed.

iii. The Medical Wellness Medical Directors Failed to Provide Day-to-Day Supervision

157. Each of the Medical Wellness Medical Directors also separately purportedly served as medical director or provided treatment at several other facilities.

158. Dr. Carrasco was the Medical Wellness Medical Director from August 12, 2009 through September 2, 2013. During that time, he also served as medical director at seven other health care clinics, intermittently. *See* AHCA List of Supervised Clinics for Dr. Carrasco attached as **Exhibit 27**.

159. Separately, Dr. Carrasco indicated that he also served as medical director at Life Medical Center Corp., 10550 NW 77th Ct., #312, Hialeah, Florida 33016 in Medical Wellness' 2009 Application for Health Care Clinic Licensure. *See* Medical Wellness 2009 Application for Health Care Clinic Licensure, attached hereto as **Exhibit 28**. This health care clinic does not appear on AHCA's List of Supervised Clinics.

160. In addition to serving as a serial medical director for numerous different clinics, Dr. Carrasco also testified that he maintained his own, separate, full-time practice, Neurology and Pain Medicine. *See* A. Carrasco Dep. 16:17-19:6, April 6, 2016, attached as **Exhibit 29**.

161. Additionally, Dr. Carrasco was also performing consulting work with Humana at Continued Care. *See* A. Carrasco Dep. 9:25-10:15, December 21, 2016, attached as **Exhibit 30**.

162. In his own words, Dr. Carrasco admitted that due to his various commitments he could not provide day-to-day supervision of Medical Wellness. *Id.* at 52:1-53:3. He testified that not only did he not provide day-to-day supervision, but did not believe it was necessary. *Id.* Instead, he was present a couple of times per week and was otherwise available via phone for consultation regarding patient care. *Id.*

163. When combining the amount of time he necessarily had to spend at other medical offices and other health care clinics where Dr. Carrasco provided services or supervision, along with the weight of his own testimony, Dr. Carrasco failed to provide the type of day-to-day supervision of Medical Wellness required by Florida law.

164. Similarly, Dr. Coll failed to provide the day-to-day supervision of Medical Wellness required by Florida law. Dr. Coll was the medical director at Medical Wellness from September 2, 2013 to October 10, 2017, when the clinic went out of business.

165. During his time as medical director, Dr. Coll served as medical director at seven other health care clinics, intermittently. *See* AHCA List of Supervised Clinics for Dr. Coll attached as **Exhibit 31**.

166. In addition to the seven clinics he reported to AHCA, Dr. Coll separately identified himself as medical director at Bella's Spa during the time he was the Medical Wellness Medical Director. *See* Medical Wellness 2013 AHCA Licensing Application attached hereto as **Exhibit 32**.

167. Further, Department of Health records reflect that Dr. Coll also identified Gentiva Hospice located at 6161 Blue Lagoon Dr., Suite 170, Miami, Florida 33126 as his primary practice.

168. Dr. Coll was a named defendant in a separate federal lawsuit brought by Government Employees Insurance Company against Medical Wellness, where similar allegations regarding his failure to satisfy his medical director duties were raised. *See GEICO v. Medical Wellness Services, Inc.*, Case No.: 17-cv-21360, ECF No. 1 (S.D. Fla. April 11, 2017). As referenced above, the suit was ultimately settled outside of court.

169. As the foregoing allegations demonstrate, Medical Wellness was engaged in an unlawful scheme to defraud insurers like State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire through the use of a Predetermined Treatment Plan. A key component to the success of the Muse Family's unlawful scheme was the Medical Wellness Medical Directors' participation, material misrepresentations, and concomitant disregard for their duties under the applicable law. Accordingly, Medical Wellness, the Muse Family, and Drs. Carrasco's and Coll's conduct violates, among other laws, the HCCA and the bills submitted to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual are unlawful and therefore noncompensable.

E. Unlawful Conduct at Pain Relief

170. Pain Relief is yet another health care clinic unlawfully operated as part of the Muse Family's scheme. Pain Relief opened in 2010, and has since been purportedly owned by three separate paper owners, all with links to the Muse Family.

171. The Muse Family also installed successive medical directors, Drs. Lorites and Gomez-Cortes ("Pain Relief Medical Directors") who, much like the medical directors at the other Muse Family clinics, were complicit in the unlawful and medically unnecessary treatment performed at Pain Relief and ignored their statutory obligations as medical directors.

172. As is true at both Health & Wellness and Medical Wellness, medical directors at Pain Relief, implemented a Predetermined Treatment Plan and knowingly failed to provide the oversight mandated by the applicable Florida statutes and regulations.

173. As a result of the foregoing, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire paid over \$500,000.00 to Pain Relief.

i. Predetermined Treatment Plan at Pain Relief

174. Between 2010 and 2016, Pain Relief treated 76 Insureds covered by State Farm Mutual or State Farm Fire No-Fault policies of insurance. *See* Pain Relief Predetermined Treatment Plan Summary Chart, a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit 33**.

175. The Pain Relief Insureds range in age from 13 years old through 77 years old. These Insureds received treatment to address injuries purportedly sustained from automobile

accidents which include rear end accidents, side swipes, cars backing into cars, side impact collision and front impact collision.

176. Insureds at Pain Relief purportedly received an initial examination. Treating physicians completed initial evaluation reports (“Pain Relief Initial Evaluations”) which purportedly document the subjective complaints of the Insured, the objective evaluation, the diagnosis, and relevant patient history. No medical professional prepares an individually tailored treatment plan for an Insured, to specify treatment, set goals and track progress.

177. Regardless of age or type of accident, the Pain Relief Initial Evaluations demonstrate that nearly 100% of Insureds purportedly reported subjective pain complaints in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions of the spine.

178. Of those Insureds, nearly roughly 95% also purportedly reported subjective pain complaints in an extremity.

179. Pain Relief Initial Evaluations reflecting patients’ subjective complaints do not include results of range of motion testing performed on the cervical, thoracic and lumbar regions of the spine for nearly 100% of the Insureds.

180. Treating physicians at Pain Relief ordered x-rays on the first date of service for more than 95% of Insureds. The results of said x-rays are not documented by the treating physician as impacting the first cycle of treatment provided to the patient. For roughly 95% of Insureds, x-ray results are not noted in the records before the first follow-up evaluation (if at all), which for 80% of Insureds does not occur until at least the tenth visit. Even then, the x-ray results are not fully documented by the treating physician in any subsequent reports. In fact, for over 95% of Insureds at Pain Relief, the medical records failed to reflect any treatment decisions based on the x-ray findings.

181. Following the initial examination at Pain Relief, treating physicians at the Clinic purportedly complete a prescription for treatment (“Pain Relief Therapy Order”) which is a combination of passive and active modalities to be performed by LMTs, who lack the requisite certification to perform at least some of these therapies.

182. The Pain Relief Therapy Orders reflect that treating physicians at Pain Relief prescribed treatment to the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spine for nearly 100% of Insureds during treatment.

183. For nearly 100% of Insureds, the Pain Relief Therapy Orders fail to specify the time or units for each modality in the prescribed treatment.

184. The Pain Relief Therapy Orders also show an excessive amount of treatment prescribed to Insureds at Pain Relief. To the extent treatment was performed, the treatment cycles prescribed by the two successive treating physicians at Pain Relief had the exact same frequency and duration, but for the first cycle, which varied slightly, as set forth below:

First Cycle

- a. Dr. Emma de la Rosa prescribed over 95% of Insureds with treatment to be performed at least four times per week for two weeks during the first cycle.
- b. Dr. Gomez-Cortes prescribed nearly 90% of Insureds with treatment to be performed at least four times per week for four weeks during the first cycle.

Second Cycle

- a. Dr. Emma de la Rosa prescribed roughly 90% of Insureds with treatment to be performed at least three times per week for four weeks during the second cycle.
- b. Dr. Gomez-Cortes prescribed over 90% of Insureds with treatment to be performed at least three times per week for four weeks during the second cycle.

Third Cycle

- a. Dr. Emma de la Rosa prescribed over 95% of Insureds with treatment to be performed at least three times per week for three weeks during the third cycle.

- b. Dr. Gomez-Cortes prescribed nearly 85% of Insureds with treatment to be performed at least three times per week for four weeks during the third cycle.

185. Chiropractor Emma de la Rosa treated more than 50 Insureds. Of those Insureds, all but one evaluation (including the Initial Evaluation and, generally, two subsequent follow-up evaluations) included the following indication regarding the treatment frequency: “The patient will require care for a period of Four-Six-Eight weeks pending further evaluation.” See **Exhibit 34**, Sample Initial Evaluation from Dr. de la Rosa. Dr. de la Rosa never indicates which of those three options applies to any subject Insured.

186. When purported treatment is performed on a subject Insured, it is documented in a daily therapy note (“Pain Relief Daily Note”). For the first set of treatment records received by State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire, which includes up to ten visits to Pain Relief, the Pain Relief Daily Notes for over 95% of Insureds are noted as complaining of “pain and tenderness” in the cervical, thoracic, and lumbar regions of the spine.

187. Similarly, during the first set of treatment records received by State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire, the Pain Relief Daily Notes reflect that over 95% of Insureds complain of “severe” and “constant” pain across all three regions of the spine, cervical, thoracic, and lumbar.

188. Despite the fact that Pain Relief Therapy Orders are often confusing or otherwise unclear, the Pain Relief Daily Notes for nearly 80% of Insureds contain a hand-written indication that treatment is performed pursuant to the Pain Relief Therapy Order.

189. In reality, none of the Insureds received treatment pursuant to the applicable Pain Relief Therapy Order (*i.e.*, over 97% of Insureds received therapy different than that which was set forth in the Therapy Order).

190. After extensive treatment at Pain Relief, most Insureds are discharged with a final report. The physician supposedly documents his or her findings as to the Insured’s condition in a final examination report (“Pain Relief Final Examination”). Pain Relief Final Examinations reflect

that over 95% of Insureds at Pain Relief who treat all the way through the three cycles receive a final impairment rating of 10% or less.

191. Here, rather than abide by their statutory obligations, Drs. Lorites and Gomez-Cortes were complicit in the implementation of the Predetermined Treatment Plan and knowingly failed to discharge their duties. Drs. Lorites and Gomez-Cortes further misled State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire by signing CMS 1500 forms. By doing so Drs. Lorites and Gomez-Cortes falsely represented that: the treatment reflected on the respective CMS 1500s was medically indicated and necessary, and was personally furnished by them or incident to their services and under their immediate supervision, and the signing medical director was the one who rendered the treatment. Those CMS 1500 forms were then transmitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire for payment, further misleading State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual to believe that treatment at Pain Relief was medically necessary and lawfully rendered. In reliance on those misrepresentations, Plaintiffs made payments to Pain Relief for unlawfully rendered treatment.

ii. Pain Relief Employees Acknowledge Use of A Predetermined Treatment Plan

192. Pain Relief clinic employees provided testimony which confirms that the Clinic employs a Predetermined Treatment Plan. For example, when asked why a subject Insured's therapy changed over time, massage therapist Annalie Campa stated: "Because first is the first cycle, then the second cycle, and then the third cycle. And each one is with different treatments, as long as the patient improves." *See* Excerpts of A. Campa Dep. 53:12-54:4, July 13, 2016, attached as **Exhibit 35**. *Id.* Ms. Campa, who is specifically tasked with performing treatment consistent with a physician's prescription, testified that she could not decipher the therapy order forms containing the prescription. *Id.* at 31:9-35:3. Most of these forms are at least partially illegible. In addition, Ms. Campa noted one Insured's condition as "worse" on a patient's daily therapy notes from October through December 2014, yet continued to provide treatment. *Id.* at 65:16-67:25. She claimed that the repeated "worse" annotation as to the Insured's condition was an accident—despite the fact that the notation appeared on every single therapy note for months. *Id.* at 65:16-67:25.

193. Similarly, front desk employee Diana Hernandez provided testimony demonstrating the existence of a Predetermined Treatment Plan at Pain Relief. Ms. Hernandez described the “normal procedure” for treating patients at Pain Relief as: on the first day, the patient comes to Pain Relief, sees the physician, receives an x-ray and then gets therapy. *See* Excerpts of D. Hernandez Dep., 53:11-54:20, November 13, 2015, attached as **Exhibit 36**.

iii. The Pain Relief Medical Directors Failed to Perform Their Statutory Duties and Provide Day-to-Day Supervision

194. Like the medical directors at the other Muse Clinics, each of the Pain Relief Medical Directors also separately purportedly served as medical director or provided treatment at many other facilities.

195. Dr. Lorites was a medical director at five health care clinics—including Pain Relief—while he served as medical director at Pain Relief. *See* AHCA Chart of Dr. Lorites medical directorships attached as **Exhibit 37**.

196. Concurrently, Dr. Lorites provided services at Lorites Medical Group, located at 8300 West Flagler St., Suite 112, Miami, Florida 33144. *See* June 15, 2010 Application for Health Care Clinic Licensure attached as **Exhibit 38**. The location of the practice is approximately an hour from Pain Relief’s location in Homestead, Florida.

197. Additionally, Dr. Lorites worked as a supervising physician at Center for Family Medicine Corp. located at 10210 Nicaragua Drive, Miami, Florida 33189. *Id.*

198. Like Dr. Lorites, Dr. Gomez-Cortes serves as medical director at numerous health care clinics. In fact, Dr. Gomez-Cortes served as medical director at nine separate health care clinics, for various periods of time, during his tenure as medical director at Pain Relief. *See* AHCA Chart of Dr. Gomez-Cortes medical directorships attached as **Exhibit 39**.

199. Currently, he is the medical director at three separate clinics in addition to Pain Relief. Like Dr. Lorites, some of these clinics are located approximately an hour away from Pain Relief’s location in Homestead, Florida.

200. Since 2004, Dr. Gomez-Cortes has served as medical director at over fifty (50) health care clinics in South Florida. *Id.*

IV. RECORD KEEPING VIOLATIONS AT EACH CLINIC

201. The medical directors at each of the Muse Clinics also failed to fulfill their obligations under the Medical Director Statute to serve as records custodian of the medical records at each Clinic.

202. Under Florida law medical directors, as records custodians, must ensure that medical records be maintained in English, in a legible manner, and with sufficient detail to demonstrate why the course of treatment was undertaken. Additionally, the medical record must contain enough information to: identify the patient; support the diagnosis; and justify the treatment and document the course and results of treatment accurately. Fla. Admin. Code r. 64B8-9.003(3).

203. At each Muse Clinic, however, the Initial Evaluations purportedly documenting the initial examination of an Insured in reality conflict with other medical records and generally lack sufficient details regarding the Insureds' subjective and objective condition.

204. For example, the Initial Evaluations often lack results of objective testing performed to confirm an Insured's subjective pain complaints. Likewise, the Initial Evaluations at times prescribe "gait training" without any supporting indication of an abnormal gait. Also, there are instances where the subjective pain complaints reflected in the Initial Evaluation conflict with the subjective pain complaints reflected in the Daily Note pertaining to therapy rendered on the very same day as the Initial Evaluation.

205. Following the initial evaluation, the treating physician at each Muse Clinic generally prepares a prescription for treatment, *i.e.*, the Therapy Order.⁷

206. The Therapy Orders used by the Muse Clinics are just as problematic as the Initial Evaluations. For example, the Therapy Orders often fail to specify which modality being prescribed should be performed on which body part. As testimony from Pain Relief LMT Annalie

⁷ For Pain Relief, Dr. Emma de la Rosa used a form called an "Assessment Form" that contained the same information.

Campa demonstrates, the manner in which Therapy Orders are completed is so confusing that she was unable to decipher what modalities she was to perform when reviewing one during a deposition. *See Exhibit 35* at 31:9-35:2.

207. Moreover, there are numerous instances where the Therapy Order conflicts with the Initial Evaluation in that the Initial Evaluation includes a therapy “prescription” that prescribes different modalities than what was ultimately included in the Therapy Order.

208. In addition, the Daily Notes, which should document the Insured’s condition and the treatment performed on a specific visit, are replete with inconsistencies and often times are illegible.

209. For example, the Daily Notes generally have a portion for handwritten notes regarding the patient’s condition and progress in the treatment. This portion of the Daily Note is often illegible, and when the hand-written words can be deciphered, they appear to be stock phrases purportedly describing the Insured’s condition or progress.

210. Indeed, a single Daily Note sometimes includes an annotation that the Insured is “responding positively to treatment” but also an indication (usually via check-mark) that the Insured’s condition is worse. *See Exemplar Therapy Notes from J. H. Claim File*, attached as **Exhibit 40**.

211. Similarly, many Daily Notes contain an indication that an Insured’s treatment was performed pursuant to the “therapy order” but the same Daily Note will also reflect treatment being performed that is not consistent with the prescribing physician’s applicable Therapy Order. *See Exemplar Therapy Notes from M. H. Claim File*, attached as **Exhibit 41**.

212. These deficiencies are more than just excusable errors or unintentional sloppiness. Instead, these deficiencies reflect systematic disregard for the proper documentation of patient care sufficient to satisfy Florida’s recordkeeping requirements. The responsibility to maintain records properly and oversee the documentation of treatment rested with the clinic medical director.

213. None of the six medical directors across the three Muse Clinics complied with their obligations as medical records owners, and therefore violated Florida's Medical Director Statute and Health Care Clinic Act.

V. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

Count I – Common Law Fraud

(Against Health & Wellness, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, Hugo Goldstraj, M.D., and Manuel Franco, M.D.)

214. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

215. In the claims set forth in **Exhibits 42 and 43**, Defendants the Health & Wellness, Beatriz and Lazaro Muse, and the Health & Wellness Medical Directors (the "Health & Wellness Defendants") knowingly made false and fraudulent statements of material fact to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual by submitting, or causing to be submitted, hundreds of bills and supporting documentation that contained false and fraudulent representations of material fact related to the treatment of Insureds in order to induce payment from State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual.

216. The false and fraudulent representations of material fact include that: (a) the services were performed, were medically necessary, and were lawfully rendered, as required by the No-Fault Laws, when they are not; (b) patients were legitimately examined to determine the true nature and extent of their injuries, when they are not; (c) patients were legitimately diagnosed with, among other things, sprains and/or strains of the cervical, thoracic, and/or lumbar regions of the spine, as well as an injury to an extremity, when they are not; (d) patients received treatment that was medically necessary, when in fact it was not because the treatment was performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan; (e) x-rays were indicated and ordered for patients because they were medically necessary, when in fact they were performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan; and (f) the Health & Wellness Medical Directors complied with their duties under Florida law to (i) conduct systematic reviews of Health & Wellness' bills to ensure they were not fraudulent or unlawful; (ii) take immediate, corrective action upon discovery of an unlawful charge at their respective clinics; (iii) provide day-to-day supervision and oversight

of the Clinic; (iv) properly ensure that all employees provided treatment within the scope of their respective certification; and (v) properly ensure that medical records were in substantial compliance with Florida laws, which the Health & Wellness Medical Directors failed to do.

217. The Health & Wellness Defendants knew that the above-described misrepresentations made to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual were false and fraudulent when they were made.

218. The Health & Wellness Defendants made the above-described misrepresentations and engaged in such conduct to induce State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire into relying on the misrepresentations.

219. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire relied on these Defendants' misrepresentations.

220. As a result of their reliance, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire have incurred damages of over \$2 million that they paid to Health & Wellness as set forth on **Exhibits 42 and 43**.⁸

221. The Health & Wellness Defendants are jointly and severally liable for the misrepresentations made to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire because they each played an essential role working in concert to further the unlawful, fraudulent scheme.

222. The Health & Wellness Defendants actively and purposefully concealed their actions from State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire by, among other things, filing documents with AHCA purporting to comply with medical director requirements at Health & Wellness; creating the appearance of compliance with the HCCA; and inducing Plaintiffs to believe that Health & Wellness provided medically necessary treatment to insureds such that Defendants bills were compensable under the Florida No-Fault laws. Due to such concealment, State Farm Fire and

⁸ **Exhibit 43** only relates to Plaintiffs' payments for patients who were not treated pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan, but who had other miscellaneous treatment. These charges are non-compensable because of Health & Wellness' violations of the HCCA.

State Farm Mutual could not have reasonably discovered that their damages were attributable to fraud until shortly before it filed this Complaint.

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire demand judgment against the Health & Wellness Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages, and any other relief the Court deems equitable, just, and proper.

Count II – Common Law Fraud
(Against Medical Wellness, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, Noel Santos, Angel Carrasco, M.D. and Jorge Coll, M.D.)

223. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

224. In the claims set forth in **Exhibits 44 and 45**, Defendants Medical Wellness, the Muse Family, and the Medical Wellness Medical Directors (the “Medical Wellness Defendants”) knowingly made false and fraudulent statements of material fact to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual by submitting, or causing to be submitted, hundreds of bills and supporting documentation that contained false and fraudulent representations of material fact related to the treatment of Insureds in order to induce payment from State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual.

225. The false and fraudulent representations of material fact include that: (a) the services were performed, were medically necessary, and were lawfully rendered, as required by the No-Fault Laws, when they are not; (b) patients were legitimately examined to determine the true nature and extent of their injuries, when they are not; (c) patients were legitimately diagnosed with, among other things, sprains and/or strains of the cervical, thoracic, and/or lumbar regions of the spine, as well as an injury to an extremity, when they are not; (d) patients received treatment that was medically necessary, when in fact it was not, because the treatment was performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan; (e) x-rays were indicated and ordered for patients because they were medically necessary, when in fact they were performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan; and (f) the Medical Wellness Medical Directors complied with their duties under Florida law to (i) conduct systematic reviews of Medical Wellness’ bills to

ensure they were not fraudulent or unlawful; (ii) take immediate, corrective action upon discovery of an unlawful charge at their respective clinics; (iii) provide day-to-day supervision and oversight of the Clinic; (iv) properly ensure that all employees provided treatment within the scope of their respective certification; and (v) properly ensure that medical records were in substantial compliance with Florida laws, which the Medical Wellness Medical Directors failed to do.

226. The Medical Wellness Defendants knew that the above-described misrepresentations made to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual were false and fraudulent when they were made.

227. The Medical Wellness Defendants made the above-described misrepresentations and engaged in such conduct to induce State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire into relying on the misrepresentations.

228. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire relied on these Defendants' misrepresentations.

229. As a result of their reliance, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire have incurred damages of over \$1.5 million that they paid to Medical Wellness as set forth on **Exhibits 44 and 45**.⁹

230. The Medical Wellness Defendants are jointly and severally liable for the misrepresentations made to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire because they each played an essential role working in concert to further the unlawful, fraudulent scheme.

231. The Medical Wellness Defendants actively and purposefully concealed their actions from State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire by, among other things, filing documents with AHCA purporting to comply with medical director requirements at Medical Wellness; creating the appearance of compliance with the HCCA; and inducing Plaintiffs to believe that Medical Wellness provided medically necessary treatment to insureds such that Defendants bills were

⁹ **Exhibit 45** only relates to Plaintiffs' payments for patients who were not treated pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan, but who had other miscellaneous treatment. These charges are non-compensable because of Medical Wellness' violations of the HCCA.

compensable under the Florida No-Fault laws. Due to such concealment, State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual could not have reasonably discovered that their damages were attributable to fraud until shortly before it filed this Complaint.

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire demand judgment against the Medical Wellness Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages, and any other relief the Court deems equitable, just, and proper.

**Count III – Common Law Fraud
(Against Pain Relief, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, Jesus Lorites, M.D., and Jose Gomez-Cortes, M.D.)**

232. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

233. In the claims set forth in **Exhibit 46**, Defendants Pain Relief, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, and the Pain Relief Medical Directors (“Pain Relief Defendants”) knowingly made false and fraudulent statements of material fact to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual by submitting, or causing to be submitted, hundreds of bills and supporting documentation that contained false and fraudulent representations of material fact related to the treatment of Insureds.

234. The false and fraudulent representations of material fact include that: (a) the services were performed, were medically necessary, and were lawfully rendered, as required by the No-Fault Laws, when they are not; (b) patients were legitimately examined to determine the true nature and extent of their injuries, when they are not; (c) patients were legitimately diagnosed with, among other things, sprains and/or strains of the cervical, thoracic, and/or lumbar regions of the spine, as well as an injury to an extremity, when they are not; (d) patients received treatment that was medically necessary, when in fact it was not because the treatment was performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan; (e) x-rays were indicated and ordered for patients because they were medically necessary, when in fact they were performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan; and (f) the Pain Relief Medical Directors complied with their duties under Florida law to (i) conduct systematic reviews of Pain Relief’s bills to ensure they

were not fraudulent or unlawful; (ii) take immediate, corrective action upon discovery of an unlawful charge at their respective clinics; (iii) provide day-to-day supervision and oversight of the Clinic; (iv) properly ensure that all employees provided treatment within the scope of their respective certification; and (iv) properly ensure that medical records were in substantial compliance with Florida laws, which the Pain Relief Medical Directors failed to do.

235. The Pain Relief Defendants knew that the above-described misrepresentations made to State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual were false and fraudulent when they were made.

236. The Pain Relief Defendants made the above-described misrepresentations and engaged in such conduct to induce State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire into relying on the misrepresentations.

237. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire relied on these Defendants' misrepresentations.

238. As a result of their reliance, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire have incurred damages of over \$500,000.00 that they paid to Pain Relief as set forth in **Exhibit 46**.

239. The Pain Relief Defendants are jointly and severally liable for the misrepresentations made to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire because they each played an essential role acting in concert to further the unlawful fraudulent scheme.

240. The Pain Relief Defendants actively and purposefully concealed their actions from State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire by, among other things, filing documents with AHCA purporting to comply with medical director requirements at Pain Relief; creating the appearance of compliance with the HCCA; and inducing Plaintiffs to believe that Pain Relief provided medically necessary treatment to insureds such that Defendants bills were compensable under the Florida No-Fault laws. Due to such concealment, State Farm Fire and State Farm Mutual could not have reasonably discovered that their damages were attributable to fraud until shortly before it filed this Complaint.

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire demand judgment against the Pain Relief Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages, and any other relief the Court deems equitable, just, and proper.

**Count IV – Violation of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Practices Act
(Against Health & Wellness, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, Hugo Goldstraj, M.D., and
Manuel Franco, M.D.)**

241. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

242. In each claim described in **Exhibits 42 and 43**, the Health & Wellness Defendants engaged in unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the conduct of trade and commerce, in violation of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Florida Statute § 502.201, *et seq.* (“FDUTPA”).

243. The Health & Wellness Defendants’ unfair and deceptive practices include representing that:

- a. The services were performed, they were medically necessary, and they were lawfully rendered, as required by the No Fault Laws, when they were not;
- b. Patients were legitimately examined to determine the true nature and extent of their injuries, when they were not;
- c. Patients were legitimately diagnosed with, among other things, sprains and/or strains of the cervical, thoracic, and/or lumbar regions of the spine, as well as tenderness, when they were not;
- d. Patients received treatment that was medically necessary, when in fact the treatment was performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan;
- e. X-rays were indicated and ordered for patients because they were medically necessary, when in fact they were performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan and the results were not considered in connection with the treatment of Insureds; and
- f. The Health & Wellness Medical Directors complied with their duties under Florida law to (i) conduct systematic reviews of the Health & Wellness’ bills to ensure they were not fraudulent or unlawful, (ii) take immediate, corrective action upon discovery of an unlawful charge at their respective clinics, (iii) provide day-to-day supervision and oversight of the Clinic;

(iv) properly ensure that all employees provided treatment within the scope of their respective certification; and (v) properly ensure that medical records were in substantial compliance with Florida laws, when in fact they failed to perform all of the foregoing. In addition, the Health & Wellness Defendants filed forms with AHCA representing that the medical directors were properly satisfying their mandatory obligations set forth in the HCCA.

244. Florida Statute § 626.9541 defines knowingly presenting or causing to be presented “a false claim for payment to any insurer” as an “unfair or deceptive act.” Fla. Stat. § 626.9541(1)(u).

245. Similarly, Florida Statute § 817.234 states that a person commits insurance fraud if that person “with the intent to injure, defraud, or deceive any insurer: (1) [p]resents or causes to be presented any written or oral statement as part of, or in support of, a claim for payment or other benefit pursuant to an insurance policy or a health maintenance organization subscriber or provider contract, knowing that such statement contains any false, incomplete, or misleading information concerning any fact or thing material to such claim.” *See* Fla. Stat. § 817.234(1)(a)(1).

246. The Health & Wellness Defendants knowingly presented or caused to be presented a false claim for payment in violation of Fla. Stat. § 626.9541 and § 817.234, each time they presented or caused to be presented charges for services that were not medically necessary and/or not lawful when they were rendered. Accordingly, this conduct is *per se* unfair and deceptive under FDUTPA.

247. In addition, the Health & Wellness Defendants’ above-described conduct was deceptive in that it was likely to mislead a consumer acting reasonably under the circumstances to the consumer’s detriment by representing that the charges were medically necessary and lawful when they were rendered.

248. Further, the Health & Wellness Defendants’ above-described conduct was unfair. The conduct was contrary to Florida public policy and was unconscionable, immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous.

249. The Health & Wellness Defendants are jointly and severally liable for the false claims submitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire because they each played an essential role acting in concert to further the unlawful scheme.

250. As a result of the Health & Wellness Defendants' deceptive and unfair practices, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire suffered actual damages in excess of \$2 million.

251. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire seek an award of attorney's fees pursuant to Florida Statutes § 502.2105(1).

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire respectfully request this Court to enter judgment in their favor and award compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial, interest thereon, attorney's fees, and costs against the Health & Wellness Defendants, jointly and severally, and grant such other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

**Count V – Violation of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Practices Act
(Against Medical Wellness, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, Noel Santos, Angel Carrasco,
M.D. and Jorge Coll, M.D.)**

252. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

253. In each claim described in **Exhibits 44 and 45**, the Medical Wellness Defendants engaged in unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the conduct of trade and commerce, in violation of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Florida Statute § 502.201, *et seq.* ("FDUTPA").

254. The Medical Wellness Defendants' unfair and deceptive practices include representing that:

- a. The services were performed, they were medically necessary, and they were lawfully rendered, as required by the No Fault Laws, when they were not;
- b. Patients were legitimately examined to determine the true nature and extent of their injuries, when they were not;
- c. Patients were legitimately diagnosed with, among other things, sprains and/or strains of the cervical, thoracic, and/or lumbar regions of the spine, as well as tenderness, when they were not;

- d. Patients received treatment that was medically necessary, when in fact the treatment was performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan;
- e. X-rays were indicated and ordered for patients because they were medically necessary, when in fact they were performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan and their results were not considered in connection with treatment of the Insureds; and
- f. The Medical Wellness Medical Directors complied with their duties under Florida law to (i) conduct systematic reviews of the Medical Wellness' bills to ensure they were not fraudulent or unlawful, (ii) take immediate, corrective action upon discovery of an unlawful charge at their respective clinics, (iii) provide day-to-day supervision and oversight of the Clinic; (iv) properly ensure that all employees provided treatment within the scope of their respective certification; and (v) properly ensure that medical records were in substantial compliance with Florida laws, when in fact they failed to perform all of the foregoing. In addition, the Medical Wellness Defendants filed forms with AHCA representing that the medical directors were properly satisfying their mandatory obligations set forth in the HCCA.

255. Florida Statute § 626.9541 defines knowingly presenting or causing to be presented “a false claim for payment to any insurer” as an “unfair or deceptive act.” Fla. Stat. § 626.9541(1)(u).

256. Similarly, Florida Statute § 817.234 states that a person commits insurance fraud if that person “with the intent to injure, defraud, or deceive any insurer: (1) [p]resents or causes to be presented any written or oral statement as part of, or in support of, a claim for payment or other benefit pursuant to an insurance policy or a health maintenance organization subscriber or provider contract, knowing that such statement contains any false, incomplete, or misleading information concerning any fact or thing material to such claim.” *See* Fla. Stat. § 817.234(1)(a)(1).

257. The Medical Wellness Defendants knowingly presented or caused to be presented a false claim for payment in violation of Fla. Stat. § 626.9541 and § 817.234, each time they presented or caused to be presented charges for services that were not medically necessary and/or not lawful when they were rendered. Accordingly, this conduct is *per se* unfair and deceptive under FDUTPA.

258. In addition, the Medical Wellness Defendants' above-described conduct was deceptive in that it was likely to mislead a consumer acting reasonably under the circumstances to the consumer's detriment by representing that the charges were medically necessary and lawful when they were rendered.

259. Further, the Medical Wellness Defendants' above-described conduct was unfair. The conduct was contrary to Florida public policy and was unconscionable, immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous.

260. The Medical Wellness Defendants are jointly and severally liable for the false claims submitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire because they each played an essential role acting in concert to further the unlawful scheme.

261. As a result of the Medical Wellness Defendants' deceptive and unfair practices, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire suffered actual damages in excess of \$1.5 million.

262. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire seek an award of attorney's fees pursuant to Florida Statutes § 502.2105(1).

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire respectfully request this Court to enter judgment in their favor and award compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial, interest thereon, attorney's fees, and costs against the Medical Wellness Defendants, jointly and severally, and grant such other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

**Count VI – Violation of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Practices Act
(Against Pain Relief, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, Jesus Lorites, M.D. and Jose Gomez-Cortes, M.D.)**

263. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

264. In each claim described in **Exhibit 46**, the Pain Relief Defendants engaged in unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the conduct of trade and commerce, in violation of the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, Florida Statute § 502.201, *et seq.* ("FDUTPA").

265. The Pain Relief Defendants' unfair and deceptive practices include representing that:

- a. The services were performed, they were medically necessary, and they were lawfully rendered, as required by the No Fault Laws, when they were not;
- b. Patients were legitimately examined to determine the true nature and extent of their injuries, when they were not;
- c. Patients were legitimately diagnosed with, among other things, sprains and/or strains of the cervical, thoracic, and/or lumbar regions of the spine, as well as tenderness, when they were not;
- d. Patients received treatment that was medically necessary, when in fact the treatment was performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan;
- e. X-rays were indicated and ordered for patients because they were medically necessary, when in fact they were performed pursuant to the Predetermined Treatment Plan and their results were not considered in connection with treatment of the Insureds; and
- f. The Pain Relief Medical Directors complied with their duties under Florida law to (i) conduct systematic reviews of the Pain Relief's bills to ensure they were not fraudulent or unlawful, (ii) take immediate, corrective action upon discovery of an unlawful charge at their respective clinics, (iii) provide day-to-day supervision and oversight of the Clinic; (iv) properly ensure that all employees provided treatment within the scope of their respective certification; and (v) properly ensure that medical records were in substantial compliance with Florida laws, when in fact they failed to perform all of the foregoing. In addition, the Pain Relief Defendants filed forms with AHCA representing that the medical directors were properly satisfying their mandatory obligations set forth in the HCCA.

266. Florida Statute § 626.9541 defines knowingly presenting or causing to be presented "a false claim for payment to any insurer" as an "unfair or deceptive act." Fla. Stat. § 626.9541(1)(u).

267. Similarly, Florida Statute § 817.234 states that a person commits insurance fraud if that person "with the intent to injury, defraud, or deceive any insurer: (1) [p]resents or causes to be presented any written or oral statement as part of, or in support of, a claim for payment or other benefit pursuant to an insurance policy or a health maintenance organization subscriber or provider

contract, knowing that such statement contains any false, incomplete, or misleading information concerning any fact or thing material to such claim.” *See* Fla. Stat. § 817.234(1)(a)(1).

268. The Pain Relief Defendants knowingly presented or caused to be presented a false claim for payment in violation of Fla. Stat. § 626.9541 and § 817.234, each time they presented or caused to be presented charges for services that were not medically necessary and/or not lawful when they were rendered. Accordingly, this conduct is *per se* unfair and deceptive under FDUTPA.

269. In addition, the Pain Relief Defendants’ above-described conduct was deceptive in that it was likely to mislead a consumer acting reasonably under the circumstances to the consumer’s detriment by representing that the charges were medically necessary and lawful when they were rendered.

270. Further, Pain Relief Defendants’ above-described conduct was unfair. The conduct was contrary to Florida public policy and was unconscionable, immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous.

271. The Pain Relief Defendants’ are jointly and severally liable for the false claims submitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire because they each played an essential role acting in concert to further the unlawful scheme.

272. As a result of the Pain Relief Defendants’ deceptive and unfair practices, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire suffered actual damages in excess of \$500,000.00.

273. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire seek an award of attorney’s fees pursuant to Florida Statutes § 502.2105(1).

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire respectfully request this Court to enter judgment in their favor and award compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial, interest thereon, attorney’s fees, and costs against the Pain Relief Defendants, jointly and severally, and grant such other relief as the Court deems just and appropriate.

Count VII – Unjust Enrichment
(Against Health & Wellness, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, Hugo Goldstraj, M.D. and
Manuel Franco, M.D.)

274. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

275. In each claim described in **Exhibits 42 and 43**, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire conferred a benefit upon Health & Wellness by paying money to Health & Wellness.

276. State Farm Mutual's and State Farm Fire's payments to Health & Wellness were distributed to Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, and the Health & Wellness Medical Directors, who used Health & Wellness as a pass-through entity to profit off of Insureds' No-Fault Benefits.

277. The Health & Wellness Defendants voluntarily accepted and retained the benefit of Plaintiffs' payments.

278. Health & Wellness, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, and the Health & Wellness Medical Directors are jointly and severally liable for orchestrating the scheme to generate and submit medical bills that were the product of an unlawful and fraudulent scheme to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire, which induced State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire to confer benefits on the Health & Wellness Defendants. Each of the Health & Wellness Defendants played an essential role as the orchestrators of the unlawful and fraudulent scheme described above.

279. Because these Defendants knowingly submitted, or caused to be submitted, to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire charges for services that were not medically necessary and/or not lawful when they were rendered, the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable to allow them to retain the benefit of the monies paid.

280. As a direct and proximate result of the above-described conduct, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire have been damaged and the Health & Wellness Defendants have been unjustly enriched by more than \$2 million.

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire demand judgment against the Health & Wellness Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages plus interest and costs and for such other relief as the Court deems equitable, just, and proper.

Count VIII – Unjust Enrichment
(Against Medical Wellness, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, Noel Santos, Angel Carrasco,
M.D. and Jorge Coll, M.D.)

281. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

282. In each claim described in **Exhibits 44 and 45**, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire conferred a benefit upon Medical Wellness by paying money to Medical Wellness.

283. State Farm Mutual's and State Farm Fire's payments to Medical Wellness were distributed to the Muse Family, and the Medical Wellness Medical Directors, who used Medical Wellness as a pass-through entity to profit off of Insureds' No-Fault Benefits.

284. The Medical Wellness Defendants voluntarily accepted and retained the benefit of Plaintiffs' payments.

285. Because the Medical Wellness Defendants knowingly submitted, or caused to be submitted, to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire charges for services that were not medically necessary and/or not lawful when they were rendered, the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable to allow them to retain the benefit of the monies paid.

286. Medical Wellness, the Muse Family, and the Medical Wellness Medical Directors are jointly and severally liable for orchestrating the scheme to generate and submit medical bills that were the product of an unlawful and fraudulent scheme to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire, which induced State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire to confer benefits on the Medical Wellness Defendants. Each of the Medical Wellness Defendants played an essential role as the orchestrators of the unlawful and fraudulent scheme described above.

287. As a direct and proximate result of the above-described conduct, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire have been damaged and Defendants Medical Wellness, the Muse Family, and the Medical Wellness Medical Directors have been unjustly enriched by more than \$1.5 million.

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire demand judgment against the Medical Wellness Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages plus interest and costs and for such other relief as the Court deems equitable, just, and proper.

Count IX – Unjust Enrichment
(Against Pain Relief, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, Jesus Lorites, M.D. and Jose Gomez-Cortes, M.D.)

288. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

289. In each claim described in **Exhibit 46**, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire conferred a benefit upon Pain Relief by paying money to Pain Relief.

290. State Farm Mutual's and State Farm Fire's payments to Pain Relief were distributed to Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, and the Pain Relief Medical Directors, who used Pain Relief as a pass-through entity to profit off of Insureds' No-Fault Benefits.

291. The Pain Relief Defendants voluntarily accepted and retained the benefit of Plaintiffs' payments.

292. Because the Pain Relief Defendants knowingly submitted, or caused to be submitted, to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire charges for services that were not medically necessary and/or not lawful when they were rendered, the circumstances are such that it would be inequitable to allow them to retain the benefit of the monies paid.

293. Pain Relief, Beatriz Muse, Lazaro Muse, and the Pain Relief Medical Directors are jointly and severally liable for orchestrating the scheme to generate and submit medical bills that were the product of an unlawful and fraudulent scheme to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire, which induced State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire to confer benefits on the Pain Relief Defendants. Each of the Pain Relief Defendants played an essential role as the orchestrators of the unlawful and fraudulent scheme described above.

294. As a direct and proximate result of the above-described conduct, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire have been damaged and the Pain Relief Defendants have been unjustly enriched by more than \$500,000.00.

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire demand judgment against the Pain Relief Defendants, jointly and severally, for compensatory damages plus interest and costs and for such other relief as the Court deems equitable, just, and proper.

**Count X – Declaratory Relief Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 et seq.
(Against the Muse Clinics)**

295. State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire incorporate, adopt and re-allege as though fully set forth herein, each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 213 above.

296. There is an actual case or controversy between State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire as to all claims and charges that the Muse Clinics have submitted, or caused to be submitted, to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire for No-Fault Benefits, which remain pending. *See Exhibits 47, 48, 49, 50 and 51.* To the extent that any such claims and charges are for services that were not medically necessary and/or not lawfully rendered and are pending through the date of this Complaint and the trial of this case, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire contend that no such claims and charges are owed.

297. Because the Muse Clinics have submitted, or caused to be submitted, to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire bills and supporting documentation that were false and contained fraudulent statements, and otherwise engaged in the above-described fraudulent and/or unlawful conduct, the Muse Clinics are not entitled to reimbursement for any claims and charges submitted to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire to date and through the trial of this case.

298. There is a *bona fide*, present, and practical need for a declaration as to all such claims and charges.

WHEREFORE, State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire seek a judgment declaring that all outstanding unpaid claims and charges that the Muse Clinics submitted, or caused to be submitted, to State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire for No-Fault and MPC Benefits to date and through the trial of this case are not owed.

JURY DEMAND

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), State Farm Mutual and State Farm Fire demand a trial by jury.

Dated: August 1, 2018.

By: /s/ Brad McPherson
DAVID SPECTOR
Fla. Bar No. 086540
david.spector@akerman.com
BRAD MCPHERSON
Fla. Bar No. 017395
brad.mcpherson@akerman.com
CAITLIN SALADRIGAS
Fla. Bar No. 095728
caitlin.saladrigas@akerman.com
AKERMAN LLP
777 South Flagler Drive
Suite 1100, West Tower
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
Telephone: (561) 653-5000
Facsimile: (561) 659-6313